



From Snow's map of cholera transmission to dynamic catchment boundary delineation: current front lines in spatial analysis

Behzad Kiani,¹ Colleen Lau,¹ Robert Bergquist²

¹UQ Centre for Clinical Research, Faculty of Medicine, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia; ²Ingerod, Brastad, Sweden

The history of mapping infectious diseases dates back to the 19th century when Dr John Snow utilised spatial analysis to pinpoint the source of the 1854 cholera outbreak in London, a ground-breaking work that laid the foundation for modern epidemiology and disease mapping (Newsom, 2006). As technology advanced, so did mapping techniques. In the late 20th century, geographic information systems (GIS) revolutionized disease mapping by enabling researchers to overlay diverse datasets to visualise and analyse complex spatial patterns (Bergquist & Manda 2019; Hashtarkhani et al., 2021). The COVID-19 pandemic showed that disease mapping is particularly valuable for optimising prevention and control strategies of infectious diseases by prioritising geographical targeting interventions and containment strategies (Mohammadi et al., 2021). Today, with the aid of highresolution satellite imagery, geo-referenced electronic data collection systems, real-time data feeds, and sophisticated modelling algorithms, disease mapping has become a feasible and accessible tool for public health officials in tracking, managing, and mitigating the spread of infectious diseases at global, regional and local scales (Hay et al., 2013).

The visual representation of disease occurrences on geograph-

Correspondence: Robert Bergquist, Ingerod, Brastad, Sweden. E-mail: robert.bergquist@outlook.com

Key words: spatial analysis; Snow's map of cholera transmission; dynamic catchment boundary delineation.

Conflict of interest: the authors declare no potential conflict of interest, and all authors confirm accuracy.

Availability of data and materials: all data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

Received: 24 October 2023. Accepted: 24 October 2023.

©Copyright: the Author(s), 2023 Licensee PAGEPress, Italy Geospatial Health 2023; 18:1247 doi:10.4081/gh.2023.1247

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0)

Publisher's note: all claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher. ical maps provides a powerful analytical tool to discern their spatial distribution. This approach is pivotal for identifying clusters, hotspots and emerging trends as well as for investigating drivers of transmission (Firouraghi et al., 2023). When incorporating the temporal dimension, invaluable insights into disease dynamics are revealed (Kiani et al., 2021). Furthermore, mapping technology can be used to investigate potential associations between the occurrence of infectious diseases and a wide array of spatially heterogeneous indicators such as natural and built environments, socio-cultural and socioeconomic elements, vectors and animal reservoirs (Mohammadi et al., 2023). All these factors play significant roles in shaping the dynamics of infectious diseases (Yantzi et al., 2019), with the socioeconomic indicators adding the critical facet of access to healthcare resources (Tizzoni et al., 2022). One of the most crucial points to bear in mind when employing spatial regression models to discern connections between infectious disease outcomes (such as prevalence or incidence) and spatially heterogeneous indicators is that these analyses can only establish 'associations' and should not be construed as indicating 'cause and effect' relationships.

By integrating the intricate interplays of environmental, socioeconomic, built environment and socio-cultural factors, mapping of infectious diseases affords a comprehensive understanding of disease transmission patterns, enabling more effective public health responses and targeted interventions. This holistic approach equips researchers and public health officials with a robust framework for formulating tailored strategies to control and prevent infectious diseases. Various types of maps have different utility in the field of infectious diseases epidemiology. Cluster and hotspot maps provide a visual representation of high-risk areas in terms of disease occurrence across geographical regions (Wangdi et al., 2022), while choropleth maps use colour gradients to represent disease intensity, which allows a clear visualization of disease burdens in different areas (MohammadEbrahimi et al., 2022). Heat maps employ colour intensity to highlight areas with higher disease concentration, providing a smooth perspective of disease distribution (Fagerlin et al., 2017). Additionally, thematic maps overlay disease data with environmental, socioeconomic, or demographic factors, offering insights into potential drivers of disease transmission (Talbi et al., 2020). Flow maps, on the other hand, illustrate the movement of people, goods or vectors, aiding in understanding the spread of infectious agents (Ponce-de-Leon et al., 2021). By incorporating the time dimension, they reveal patterns crucial for tracking disease trends and outbreaks over time (Firouraghi et al., 2022). As pointed out by Mohidem et al. (2021), interactive web-based maps enhance decision-making by allowing users to explore and analyse disease data in real-time and filter data by subpopulations of interest, e.g., age and sex. Overall, the diverse range of maps and their applications in infectious diseases epidemiology provide vital tools for researchers, policymakers





and public health officials in formulating more geographically targeted strategies for disease control and prevention.

Disease mapping and spatial analytics can be conducted at different levels of spatial resolution. For example, catchment area analysis goes beyond predefined geographical boundaries, delving into more intricate dynamics of disease transmission compared to regional-level analysis (Huber et al., 2022). Catchment areas defined by travel time or distance can help delineate transmission zones and the potential reach of an outbreak. From that point of view, responses can be instrumental for understanding the potential extent and pattern of an outbreak, allowing the implementation of targeted interventions to contain spread. In addition, these methods aid in the efficient allocation of healthcare resources. By identifying areas with higher disease burdens, authorities can strategically deploy medical personnel, supplies and facilities where they are most needed. Finally, measuring spatial accessibility to healthcare services to ensure equitable access to those services is a key application of catchment area analysis (Mohammadi et al., 2021, Pereira et al., 2021).

Mapping infectious diseases presents several notable challenges. A key obstacle is the potential limitation with respect to availability and quality of data in resource-constrained regions. Spatial sampling methods represents another consideration as it provides a structured approach to gathering data points across geographical areas and ensures that the spatial distribution and number of data points are appropriate for answering the research question posed. For example, determining an appropriate sampling strategy involves considerations of spatial representativeness, ensuring that sampled locations adequately capture the diversity of the landscape. Additionally, logistical constraints and resource limitations may pose hurdles in the implementation of rigorous spatial sampling protocols. Leveraging advanced modelling techniques, such as geostatistics and machine-learning algorithms, predictive risk mapping enables the extrapolation of disease risk estimates to unsampled locations, offering a comprehensive view of disease distribution across entire landscapes (Restrepo et al., 2023). This approach proves invaluable in ensuring that even regions with limited or no direct data contribute to a holistic understanding of disease dynamics.

Sharing and integration of data across different jurisdictions is not as straightforward as one would wish as privacy concerns and legal regulations often hinder this approach. One solution can be jittering of the data for visualisation purposes but keeping the original data for modelling (Helderop et al., 2023). The dynamic nature of infectious diseases poses another challenge, as factors like changing population densities, human mobility, and evolving pathogens and vectors require continuous data updates and sophisticated modelling techniques. Furthermore, biases in healthcare access and reporting can lead to underestimations or misrepresentations of disease burdens, skewing the accuracy of maps. Inaccurate geospatial information or errors in mapping techniques can also introduce uncertainties. Moreover, the complexity of interactions between environmental, socioeconomic, and cultural determinants demands multidisciplinary expertise for meaningful interpretation, and the geographical scale of the data is always a challenge for researchers, especially in modelling approaches such as multilevel modelling, where a different geographical scale in the hierarchical structure of the data might change the results (Owen et al., 2015). Finally, the task of accurately defining catchment areas is not without hurdles. Considerations such as transportation infrastructure, socioeconomic disparities, and healthcare accessibility might need to be weighed. Emerging techniques, including gravity models and network-based analyses, are poised to better represent real-world scenarios (Kiani *et al.*, 2021). However, there will be greater opportunities for catchment level analysis in the future because of the strong possibility of better data availability. Indeed, the fusion of real-time data streams, machine-learning algorithms and dynamic modelling techniques promises more refined analyses leading to integration of socio-behavioural factors, climate data and genomic information. There is no doubt that our comprehension of infectious disease dynamics within catchment areas will rise further already in the near term.

In an era marked by unprecedented challenges in infectious disease prevention and control, the marriage of infectious disease mapping and catchment area analysis stands as one important tool. Through sophisticated mapping and dynamic catchment boundary delineation, the intricate tapestry of pathogens and populations can be highlighted and explored at higher spatial resolutions. However, as we navigate this terrain, we must remain vigilant in addressing disparities, adapting methodologies and embracing innovative technologies. By doing so, we pave the way for a more resilient and responsive public health infrastructure, better equipped to face the challenges of tomorrow.

References

- Newsom SWB, 2006.Pioneers in infection control: John Snow, Henry Whitehead, the Broad Street pump, and the beginnings of geographical epidemiology. J Hosp Infect 64:210-6.
- Bergquist R, Manda S, 2019. The world in your hands: GeoHealth then and now. Geospat Health 14:779.
- Hashtarkhani S, Tabatabaei-Jafari H, Kiani B, 2021. Use of geographical information systems in multiple sclerosis research: A systematic scoping review, Mult Scler Relat Disord 51:102909.
- Mohammadi A, Mollalo A, Bergquist R, Kiani B, 2021. Measuring COVID-19 vaccination coverage: an enhanced age-adjusted two-step floating catchment area model. Infect Dis Poverty 10:118.
- Hay SI, Battle KE, Pigott DM, et al., 2013. Global mapping of infectious disease. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 368:20120250.
- Firouraghi N, Bergquist R, Fatima M, et al., 2023. High-risk spatiotemporal patterns of cutaneous leishmaniasis: a nationwide study in Iran from 2011 to 2020. Infect Dis Poverty 12:49.
- Kiani B, Raouf Rahmati A, Bergquist R, et al.,2021. Spatio-temporal epidemiology of the tuberculosis incidence rate in Iran 2008 to 2018. BMC Public Health 21:1093.
- Mohammadi A, Pishgar E, Fatima M, et al.,2023. The COVID-19 Mortality Rate Is Associated with Illiteracy, Age, and Air Pollution in Urban Neighborhoods: A Spatiotemporal Cross-Sectional Analysis. Trop Med Infect Dis 8:85.
- Yantzi R, van de Walle G, Lin J, 2019. The disease isn't listening to the drug: The socio-cultural context of antibiotic use for viral respiratory infections in rural Uganda. Glob Public Health 14:750-63.
- Tizzoni M, Nsoesie EO, Gauvin L, et al, 2022. Addressing the socioeconomic divide in computational modeling for infectious diseases. Nat Commun 13:2897.
- Wangdi K, Sheel M, Fuimaono S, et al, 2022. Lymphatic filariasis in 2016 in American Samoa: Identifying clustering and hotspots using non-spatial and three spatial analytical methods. PLOS Negl Trop Dis 16:e0010262.







- MohammadEbrahimi S, Kiani B, Rahmatinejad Z, et al., 2022. Geospatial epidemiology of hospitalized patients with a positive influenza assay: A nationwide study in Iran, 2016-2018. PLoS One 17:e0278900.
- Fagerlin A, Valley TS, Scherer AM, et al 2017. Communicating infectious disease prevalence through graphics: Results from an international survey. Vaccine 35:4041-7.
- Talbi FZ, Nouayti N, El Omari H, et al., 2020. Thematic Maps of the Impact of Urbanization and Socioeconomic Factors on the Distribution of the Incidence of Cutaneous Leishmaniasis Cases in Sefrou Province, Central North of Morocco (2007-2011). Interdiscip Perspect Infect Dis 2020:8673091.
- Ponce-de-Leon M, del Valle J, Fernandez JM, et al., 2021. COVID-19 Flow-Maps an open geographic information system on COVID-19 and human mobility for Spain. Sci Data 8:310.
- Firouraghi N, Mohammadi A, Hamer DH, et al., 2022. Spatio-temporal visualisation of cutaneous leishmaniasis in an endemic, urban area in Iran. Acta Trop 225:106181.
- Mohidem NA, Osman M, Muharam FM, et al., 2021. Development of a web-geographical information system application for plotting tuberculosis cases. Geospat Health 16:980.

- Huber C, Watts A, Grills A, et al., 2022. Modelling airport catchment areas to anticipate the spread of infectious diseases across land and air travel. Spat Spatiotemporal Epidemiol 36:100380.
- Pereira RHM, Braga CKV, Servo LM, et al., 2021. Geographic access to COVID-19 healthcare in Brazil using a balanced float catchment area approach. Soc Sci Med 273:113773.
- Cadavid Restrepo AM, Martin BM, Fuimaono S, et al., 2023. Spatial predictive risk mapping of lymphatic filariasis residual hotspots in American Samoa using demographic and environmental factors. PLoS Negl Trop Dis 17:e0010840.
- Helderop E, Nelson JR, Grubesic TH, 2023. 'Unmasking' masked address data: A medoid geocoding solution. MethodsX 10:102090.
- Owen G, Harris R, Jones K, 2015. Under examination: Multilevel models, geography and health research. Prog Hum Geogr 40:394-412.
- Kiani B, Mohammadi A, Bergquist R, Bagheri N, 2021. Different configurations of the two-step floating catchment area method for measuring the spatial accessibility to hospitals for people living with disability: a cross-sectional study. Arch Public Health 79:85.